(3) Yes.

(4) Enquiry was conducted. No action was taken as the matter related to the Sub-Inspector's private life.

(5) Vide answer to part (2), question 372.

(6) No.

376. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) that a petition has been received by the Police Commissioner, dated 20th Kanni 1104, from Mr. Narayanan Sreedharan Nambudiri of Kuzhuppilli Illam, Penganmukku Desom of Kattakampal Village, complaining against the Sub-Inspector of Police at Kunnamkulam;

(b) what is the allegation contained in the petition;

(c) whether any enquiry has been conducted with reference to the petition; and if so, whether a copy of the enquiry report will be placed on the Table;

(d) whether the petitioner had requested that before the petition was enquired into the Police officer or officers referred to in the petition should be transferred or at least be kept away from the station;

(e) whether this request has been complied with; and if not,

(f) whether a thorough enquiry will be made after transferring the officials referred to;

(g) whether the Government will consider the question of conducting an open enquiry by a committee consisting of two officials and three elected Members of the Legislative Council;

(h) whether the Government have read an article in the "Yogakshemam" dated 27th October 1928 under the caption 'ഭയപ്പെടുത്തി പണംവാങ്ങൽ';

(i) whether it is not stated in the course of the article as follows:—

ഇതുപോലെയുള്ള പലെ കേസ്സുകളും ഇവിടങ്ങളിൽ ഉള്ളതായി പ്രസ്താപമുണ്ട്.

(j) what action has been taken by the Government to find out the truth of this statement;

(k) whether a thorough enquiry will be conducted against the conduct and action of these officers, since their appointment at Kunnamkulam?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—(a) Yes.

(b) Extortion.

(c) The enquiry is not complete.

(d) Yes.

(e) The officer concerned was, since the commencement of the enquiry, placed under suspension.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(f) Vide answer to part (6) of question No. 371.

(g) Government do not consider that any such enquiry is necessary.

(h) Yes.

(i) Yes

(j) No action can be taken on vague allegations.

(k) Vide answer to (j).

377. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to mention:—

(a) whether any petition or complaint was received by the Mukundapuram 2nd class Magistrate on 24th Karkadagam 1101 against the Sub-Inspector of Pallivirutti Police Station of that time; and if so,

(b) what are the complaints contained therein ;

(c) whether the same Sub-Inspector, who had been posted at Pallivirutti then, was at Kunnamkulam in the beginning of 1104 and was it against him that the people of Kunnamkulam petitioned on 12th Kanni 1104 ?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—(a) Yes.

(b) The complaint in the petition is as follows:—

പള്ളിരുത്തി കസ്ംബാ പൊല്ലിസ്സ സ്റ്റേഷനിൽ സബ്ബിൻസ്പെക്ടർ അമീദഖാന്റെ ഉപദേശപ്രകാരം ടിയാൻ പണിഎടുക്കുന്ന രാജ്യത്തനിന്നു ഉദ്ദേശം ഫ വയസ്സു പ്രായമുള്ളതും വെളുത്തതും സൌന്ദര്യമുള്ളതും മെയ്യാഭരണങ്ങളോടുകൂടിയതുമായ ഒരു കുസ്തീയ സ്ത്രീയെ എതുകക്ഷികളുടെ വീട്ടിലേക്ക (the Sub-Inspector's father is the 2nd counter-petitioner and their house is at Irinjalakkuda) അമീദഖാൻ സബ്ബിൻസ്പെക്ടർ ഉരുപദേശം ചെയ്തയക്കുകയും ടി സ്ത്രീയെ ഇന്നലെ വൈകുന്നേരം അവളുടെ സമ്മതം കൂടാതെ വ-ാംഎതുകക്ഷിയും മറ്റംകൂടി സാക്ഷാലുള്ള ഉടുപ്പുകളെ മാറി ബലമായി മുഹമ്മദീയ ഉടുപ്പുകളെ ധരിപ്പിക്കുകയും ഇന്നൊ നാളെയൊ മനഃസമ്മതം കൂടാതെമുഹമ്മദീയ മാർഗ്ഗത്തിൽ ചേക്കുവാൻ. ബലമായ ഒരുക്കങ്ങൾ ചെയ്തുവരുന്നതായി അറിയുന്നു.

(c) Yes.

378. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to mention: —

(a) whether Mr. Arakkal Thomman Rokkey, a resident of Alwaye in Travancore, has sent a petition dated 28th Kanni 1104 to the District Magistrate about his sister's missing daughter ; and if so,

(b) what is the complaint contained in that petition and against whom has he lodged it ;

(c) whether any enquiry has been conducted with reference to this petition ; and if so, who conducted the enquiry and what was the nature and result of it ;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly